MONEY LAUNDERING – WHITE COLLAR CRIMES IN ISRAEL

Eldad BAR LEV[26]

Abstract:

In recent years, numerous criminal investigations have involved white-collar workers, including bank managers, insurance company executives, senior government officials, and prominent businessmen. This article presents findings on white-collar crime to evaluate the law enforcement policies required to address this phenomenon in Israel. A focus is placed on the dimension of money laundering to assess whether general deterrence exists for such crimes.

Keywords: *criminal, white-collar crimes, law enforcement*

JEL classification: *K42, H26, G38*

Introduction

The term "white-collar criminality" is defined as an offense exploiting special resources (in jobs, assets, and political influence) to generate illegal profits through camouflage and deception methods while preventing discovery by controlling information sources (Man, 1990). White-collar crimes, including forgery, fraud, and money laundering, have become central to criminal enforcement in Israel. Edwin Sutherland first introduced the term in 1949, emphasizing its distinction from visible crimes like theft and assault (Sutherland, 1949).

White-collar crimes are not merely isolated financial offenses but are deeply interwoven with economic and social dynamics. These crimes exploit systemic vulnerabilities, including gaps in regulatory frameworks, technological advancements, and the complexity of financial systems. The scale and sophistication of such offenses have grown alongside globalization, as international financial networks allow criminals to operate beyond the reach of individual jurisdictions.

In Israel, the challenge of combating white-collar crimes has intensified in recent decades. The country's integration into global markets and its position as a technological leader have made it both a target and a hub for economic offenses, particularly money laundering. High-profile cases, such as the embezzlement at the Bank of Commerce, have drawn public and governmental attention to the critical need for robust preventive and enforcement measures.

White-collar crime's impact extends far beyond immediate financial losses. It undermines public trust in institutions, weakens governance, and disrupts the economic stability of affected sectors. For instance, a single case of fraud in a major company can ripple through supply chains, affecting small businesses and individual livelihoods. Additionally, the perception that white-collar offenders often evade justice contributes to societal cynicism and a diminished sense of fairness in the legal system.

This paper explores these pressing issues, focusing on money laundering as a cornerstone of white-collar criminal activity. By examining the methods, motivations, and legislative responses associated with such crimes, the study aims to provide actionable insights for

---

[26] Ph.D, Economics and International Affairs, email: eldad.barlev@university.israel

improving Israel's enforcement policies. It highlights the importance of both international collaboration and the adoption of advanced technologies to combat increasingly sophisticated economic offenses. Ultimately, addressing white-collar crime effectively is not only a matter of law enforcement but also of restoring public confidence in the integrity of economic and legal systems.

Description of the Problem and literature review

White-collar crimes are instrumental, requiring planning and resourcefulness. Offenders rationally weigh potential gains against risks. In Israel, courts emphasize deterrence through imprisonment and substantial fines (Mann, 1990). Supreme Court Justice S. Levin noted: "When it comes to tax offenders, economic offenses, fraud offenses... it is important to impose, alongside a prison sentence, a painful and burdensome fine to ensure no offender profits from crime" (Criminal Appeal 23/97).
White-collar crimes have evolved in complexity, incorporating advanced technologies and global networks. For instance, cyber fraud and cross-border money laundering have presented unique challenges for Israeli law enforcement.
White-collar offenders differ from ordinary criminals in education, employment, and socio-demographics. Studies indicate they are typically middle-aged, educated men in stable employment, unlike younger, less-educated ordinary offenders (Weisburd, Bode & Waring, 1982). This distinction impacts their treatment in the legal system and their ability to exploit loopholes.
Money laundering is the process of disguising illicit funds as legitimate through three stages:

- **Placement:** Introducing illegal funds into the financial system (Shapiro, 1990). This can occur through depositing funds in banks, casinos, or other financial institutions.
- **Layering:** Creating complex transactions to obscure origins. Layering often involves multiple accounts, jurisdictions, and entities to separate the money from its criminal origins, making it difficult for law enforcement to trace.
- **Integration:** Reintroducing the laundered funds into legitimate markets, such as real estate, stocks, or legitimate business operations. Once integrated, the funds appear clean and indistinguishable from legally earned income.

The Israeli Prohibition on Money Laundering Law (2000) aligned Israel with international standards, leading to its removal from the FATF blacklist (Zimring & Hawkins, 1973). This law defines the offense, sets reporting obligations for financial institutions, and establishes mechanisms for asset seizure and forfeiture.

Methodology and Data

Money laundering often facilitates continued criminal activities and conceals profits from crimes such as fraud, bribery, and drug trafficking. Methods include:

- **Cash Smuggling:** Transporting large amounts of cash across borders to avoid detection. This method remains popular due to its simplicity.
- **Fictitious Transactions:** Creating fake invoices or business activities to justify fund flows. Shell companies are frequently used for this purpose.
- **Structuring (Smurfing):** Splitting large sums of money into smaller, less conspicuous amounts to avoid triggering reporting thresholds.
- **Digital Currencies:** Increasingly, cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin are exploited for laundering due to their anonymity and global accessibility.
- **Trade-Based Money Laundering:** Manipulating trade transactions, such as under-invoicing or over-invoicing goods, to move funds internationally.

In Israel, black capital constitutes approximately 15% of GDP, with 2–5% resulting from laundering activities (Robb, 2005). Criminals exploit gaps in regulation and enforcement, particularly in non-bank financial systems and informal money transfer networks.

Data Sources and Analytical Framework

This study relies on a combination of qualitative and quantitative data sources, including:

1. **Official Reports:** Data from the Israeli Tax Authority, Israel Police, and the Ministry of Justice provide insights into reported cases, prosecutions, and convictions.
2. **International Collaboration:** Reports from organizations like the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and Interpol offer global perspectives on trends and best practices.
3. **Academic Studies:** A review of peer-reviewed literature on money laundering methods, detection, and prevention provides a theoretical framework.
4. **Case Studies:** Analysis of high-profile Israeli cases, such as the Bank of Commerce scandal, highlights systemic vulnerabilities and enforcement challenges.

Despite advancements, significant challenges remain in gathering comprehensive data on money laundering:

- **Underreporting:** Many cases go undetected due to the sophistication of offenders and the lack of complainants.
- **Jurisdictional Issues:** Cross-border laundering complicates data sharing and enforcement.
- **Evolving Techniques:** Rapid technological advancements create gaps in existing detection frameworks, particularly with digital currencies.

By triangulating data from these sources, the study aims to identify patterns and recommend targeted interventions to strengthen enforcement and deterrence mechanisms in Israel.

Results

The *extent of white-collar crime in Israel* can be said as Israeli law enforcement records only record reported and exposed cases, leaving many crimes undetected due to their complexity. Initiatives such as fraud units aim to improve detection and enforcement (Fishman & Dinitz, 1977).

There is a legislative development such as the Antitrust Act (1988) and the Anti-Money Laundering Act (2000) strengthened enforcement. The Law on Combating Criminal Organizations (2002) further targeted organized economic crime (Bezeq, 1981).

Challenges in law enforcement consisted in the fact that, although legislation has improved, enforcement faces challenges, including sophisticated methods of concealment and the absence of complainants in many cases. The expansion of professional investigative units was essential to uncovering these crimes (Cohen, 1991).

To tackle transnational money laundering and white-collar crime, Israel has enhanced cooperation with international law enforcement agencies. Membership in organizations like FATF underscores the importance of global partnerships in combating complex financial crimes (Braithwaite, 1989).

White-collar crimes erode public trust in financial systems and institutions. In Israel, high-profile cases, such as the Bank of Commerce embezzlement, demonstrate how such crimes lead to significant economic instability and weaken public confidence in governance and markets (Shapiro, 1990).

Conclusions

White-collar crimes, particularly money laundering, represent a multifaceted challenge that extends beyond economic harm to social and institutional trust. The complexity and evolving nature of these crimes demand a multi-pronged approach to enforcement, prevention, and public engagement.

Key Findings and Recommendations

1. *Strengthened Legislation*: While Israel has made strides in legislating against money laundering and other white-collar crimes, continuous updates are essential to address emerging methods of financial fraud. Laws must evolve to incorporate digital currencies, cross-border transactions, and advancements in financial technologies.
2. *Enhanced Law Enforcement Capabilities:* Allocating resources to specialized units within law enforcement agencies is critical. These units require training in financial forensics, advanced analytics, and cybercrime detection. Establishing international task forces can help share expertise and reduce jurisdictional hurdles.
3. *Deterrent Punishments:* To effectively deter offenders, penalties must outweigh the potential financial gains from criminal activities. This includes not only prison sentences but also asset confiscation and public accountability measures.
4. *Public Awareness and Education:* Raising public awareness about the societal impacts of white-collar crimes can foster cooperation between the public and law enforcement. Educational campaigns should target vulnerable groups, such as small business owners and employees in financial institutions, to reduce the risk of unwitting complicity.
5. *Corporate Governance:* Strengthening internal compliance mechanisms within corporations is a preventive measure. Regular audits, transparency in operations, and ethical business practices must become standard. Companies should also be incentivized to report suspicious activities through legal protections and rewards.
6. *Technological Integration:* Leveraging artificial intelligence and machine learning tools to analyze financial data can significantly enhance early detection of suspicious activities. Blockchain technology offers potential for transparent tracking of transactions, reducing opportunities for money laundering.

.

Future Directions

Adopting advanced technologies such as AI and blockchain analytics can significantly enhance the ability to detect and trace money laundering activities. These tools can analyze large datasets and identify suspicious transactions more efficiently (Weisburd et al., 1982).
Training law enforcement personnel in financial forensics and cybercrime investigation will be crucial to keeping pace with increasingly sophisticated white-collar crimes. Collaboration with academic institutions and international organizations can provide updated methodologies and tools (Braithwaite, 1985).
Raising public awareness about the societal impacts of white-collar crime can encourage whistleblowing and cooperation with law enforcement. Highlighting successful prosecutions may also serve as a deterrent (Cohen, 1991).

Given the cross-border nature of white-collar crimes, Israel should strengthen regional cooperation frameworks with neighboring countries. Joint task forces, shared intelligence, and synchronized legal reforms could bolster collective enforcement capacities (Man, 1990).
Encouraging better corporate governance practices can help prevent white-collar crimes from occurring. Regular audits, stricter compliance protocols, and increased accountability within organizations can deter potential offenders (Shapiro, 1990).
Further research into the economic and social impacts of white-collar crime can inform more effective policies. Integrating insights from criminology, economics, and technology studies could enhance prevention strategies (Fishman & Dinitz, 1977).

Broader Implications

The fight against white-collar crime requires a shift in perception. It is not merely a "victimless crime" but one with tangible consequences for society at large. Public trust in governance and financial systems is eroded when offenders go unpunished, leading to disillusionment and reduced economic stability.
Israel's proactive stance in recent years—through legislative measures, international cooperation, and enhanced enforcement—has laid a foundation for combating these offenses. However, continuous effort is necessary to adapt to the dynamic nature of financial crimes.
By addressing white-collar crime comprehensively, Israel can position itself as a leader in financial integrity, setting an example for other nations. This will require sustained commitment, innovative solutions, and collaborative efforts across governmental and private sectors.

Bibliography

Bezeq, Y. (1981). The Criminal Punishment. Dvir Publishing, Tel Aviv. pp. 406–417.

Benson, M. & Moore, E. (1992). Are White-Collar and Common Offenders the Same? Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 29(3), pp. 251–272.

Braithwaite, J. (1989). Crime, Shame and Reintegration. Cambridge University Press.

Man, K. (1990). Special Characteristics of White-Collar Delinquency. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Sutherland, E. (1949). White Collar Criminality. American Sociological Review.

Weisburd, D., Bode, N. & Waring, E. (1982). Criminal Careers in White-Collar Crime: An Empirical Investigation.

Zimring, F.E. & Hawkins, G. (1973). Deterr